IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No.1623 of 2002
Date of Decision:- 28.11.2006

Santokh Singh ....Petitioner

through
Mr.Vishal Garg, Advocate for
Mr.Chetan Mittal, Advocate.

vs.

Sh.Survesh Kaushal & ors. ....Respondents

through
Mr.G.S.Cheema, Sr.DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr.A.R.Takkar, Advocate for
respondent No.3.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

SURYA KANT, J.

In deference to the order dated October 7, 2006, Shri Cheema, learned Sr.DAG, Punjab has produced a copy of the order dated 28.11.2006 vide memo No.4/56/2000-7LGI/9962 whereby the reversion order of the petitioner has been recalled. As a consequence thereto, the petitioner stands restored to the promotional post which he was occupying prior to his reversion.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, contends that the petitioner is entitled to be promoted from the date when his alleged juniors were promoted.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the fact that in the order dated 30.7.2002 passed by this Court, which is the subject matter of these contempt proceedings, there are no specific directions issued to promote the petitioner retrospectively, I am satisfied

that no further action is required to be taken in these proceedings. Consequently, this petition is disposed of, however, with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the competent authority with regard to any other claim including his retrospective promotion and if any such representation is made by him, the same shall be objectively considered and disposed of within a period of four months.

Rule discharged.

November 28, 2006 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**